arXiv:1101.5902v4 [math.PR] 23 Nov 2011

Expected signature of two dimensional Brownian Motion up to the first exit time of a domain

Terry Lyons
Mathematical Institute &
Oxford-Man Institute of Quantitative Finance,
Eagle House, Oxford OX2 6ED*

Hao Ni
Mathematical Institute &
Oxford-Man Institute of Quantitative Finance,
Eagle House, Oxford OX2 6ED

November 24, 2011

Abstract

The signature of the path provides a top down description of a path in terms of its effects as a control[5]. It is a grouplike element in the tensor algebra and is an essential object in rough path theory. When the path is random, the linear independence of the signatures of different paths leads one to expect, and it has been proved in simple cases, that the expected signature would capture the complete law of this random variable. It becomes of great interest to be able to compute examples of expected signatures. For instance, the computation for Brownian motion on $[0,1]$ leads to "cubature on Wiener Space" methodology [6]. In this paper we fix an arbitrary exponentially bounded domain Γ in a Euclidean space E and study the expected signature of a Brownian path starting at $z \in \Gamma$ and stopped at the first exit time of Γ. We denote this tensor series valued function by $\Phi_\Gamma(z)$, although we will focus on the case $E = \mathbb{R}^2$. We prove that the expected signature Φ_Γ satisfies a parabolic PDE, with a boundary condition and initial condition given as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) = -(\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes \frac{\partial \Phi_\Gamma(z)}{\partial z_i}, & \text{if } z \in \Gamma; \\ \Phi_\Gamma(z) = \mathbf{1}, & \text{if } z \in \partial\Gamma; \\ \rho_0(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) = 1, \rho_1(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) = 0, & \text{if } z \in \bar{\Gamma}. \end{cases}$$

Using the PDE for the expected signature of Brownian motion Φ_Γ, we derive each term of the expected signature recursively. In the case of a unit disk we go further and show that the expected signature is in the

---

[*] Supported of EPSRC though grant EPSRC Reference: EP/F029578/1

polynomial form with the common factor $(1-|z|^2)$. We also give a discrete finite difference equation that characterizes the expected signature of a simple d-dimensional random walk stopped on first exit from a finite domain.

1 Preliminary

In this paper, we are interested in paths and in describing probability measures on these paths. Our examples will be quite specific, but need to be understood in a more general context. For the sake of precision, we start by introducing some notations, making essential definitions and stating the basic results we require. These can also be found in [5]. A reader experienced in rough path theory might prefer to go directly to Section 2.

1.1 Tensors Products

Fix a real Banach space E, in which our paths will take their values. Consider the successive tensor powers $E^{\otimes n}$ of E (completed in some tensor norm). In the case where E is finite dimensional with basis $\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_d\}$ then $E^{\otimes n}$ is spanned by the words of length n in the letters $\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_d\}$, and can be identified with the space of real homogeneous non-commuting polynomials of degree n in d variables. We note that $E^{\otimes 0}=\mathbb{R}$. It is necessary to constrain the norms used when considering tensor products (the injective and projective norms satisfy our constraints).

Definition 1.1 *Let E be a Banach space. We say that its tensor powers are endowed with an admissible norm $|.|$, if the following conditions hold:*

1. *For each $n\geq 1$, the symmetric group S_n acts by isometry on $E^{\otimes n}$, i.e.*

$$|\sigma v| = |v|, \forall v \in E^{\otimes n}, \forall \sigma \in S_n$$

2. *The tensor product norm 1, i.e. $\forall n,m\geq 1$,*

$$|v\otimes w| \leq |v||w|, \forall v\in E^{\otimes n}, w\in E^{\otimes m}.$$

1.2 The algebra of tensor series

Definition 1.2 *A formal* E*-tensor series is a sequence of tensors $\left(a_n\in \mathrm{E}^{\otimes n}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which we write $a=(a_0,a_1,\ldots)$. There are two binary operations on* E*-tensor series, an addition $+$ and a product $\otimes$, which are defined as follows. Let $\mathbf{a}=(a_0,a_1,...)$ and $\mathbf{b}=(b_0,b_1,...)$ be two* E*-tensor series. Then we define*

$$\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}=(a_0+b_0,a_1+b_1,...) \tag{1}$$

and

$$\mathbf{a}\otimes\mathbf{b}=(c_0,c_1,...), \tag{2}$$

where for each $n \geq 0$,

$$c_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \otimes b_{n-k}. \tag{3}$$

The product $\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}$ *is also denoted by* $\mathbf{ab}$*. We use the notation* $\mathbf{1}$ *for the series* $(1, 0, ...)$*, and* $\mathbf{0}$ *for the series* $(0, 0, ...)$*. If* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$*, then we define* $\lambda\mathbf{a}$ *to be* $(\lambda a_0, \lambda a_1, ...)$*.*

Definition 1.3 *The space* $T((E))$ *is defined to be the vector space of all formal* E*-tensors series.*

Remark 1.1 *The space* $T((E))$ *with* $+$ *and* $\otimes$ *and the action of* $\mathbb{R}$ *is an associative and unital algebra over* $\mathbb{R}$*. An element of* $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, ...)$ *of* $T((E))$ *is invertible if and only if* $a_0 \neq 0$*. Its inverse is then given by the series*

$$\mathbf{a}^{-1} = \frac{1}{a_0} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\mathbf{1} - \frac{\mathbf{a}}{a_0})^n \tag{4}$$

which is well defined because, for each given degree, only finitely many terms of the sum produce non-zero tensors of this degree. In particular, the subset $\{\mathbf{a} \in T((E)) \,|\, a_0 = 1\}$ *forms a group.*

Definition 1.4 *The dilation operator is the mapping* $\langle \varepsilon, \mathbf{a} \rangle$ *from* $\mathbb{R}^+ \times T((E)) \rightarrow T((E))$ *defined by*

$$\langle \varepsilon, \mathbf{a} \rangle = (a_0, \varepsilon a_1, ..., \varepsilon^n a_n, ...), \forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbf{a} \in T((E)).$$

Let $B_n = \{\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, ...) | a_0 = ... = a_n = 0\}$. Then B_n is an ideal of $T((E))$.

Definition 1.5 *Let* $n \geq 1$ *be an integer. Let* $B_n = \{\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, ...) | a_0 = ... = a_n = 0\}$*.The truncated tensor algebra* $T^{(n)}(E)$ *of order* n *over* E *is defined as the quotient algebra*

$$T^{(n)}(E) = T((E)) / B_n. \tag{5}$$

The canonical homomorphism $T((E)) \longrightarrow T^{(n)}(E)$ *is denoted by* π_n*.*

1.3 Paths

Paths also have algebraic properties:

Definition 1.6 *Let* $X : [0, s] \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}$ *and* $Y : [s, t] \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}$ *be two continuous paths. Their concatenation is the path* $X * Y$ *defined by*

$$(X * Y)_u = \begin{cases} X_u, & u \in [0, s] \\ X_s + Y_u - Y_s, & u \in [s, t] \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.2 $*$ *is an associative operation. Let* $X : [0, s] \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}$*,* $Y : [s, t] \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}$ *and* $Z : [t, v] \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}$ *be three continuous paths. Then*

$$(X * Y) * Z = X * (Y * Z)$$

1.4 The Signature of a Path

Definition 1.7 *Let J denote a compact interval. Let $X : J \longrightarrow E$ be a path of bounded variation or a rough path of finite $p-$variation such that the following integration makes sense. The signature $\mathbf{X}$ of X is an element $(1, X^1, ..., X^n, ...)$ of $T((E))$ defined for each $n \geq 1$ as follows*

$$X^n = \underset{u_1<...<u_n,\quad u_1,...,u_n \in J}{\int \cdots \int} dX_{u_1} \otimes ... \otimes dX_{u_n}$$

The signature $\mathbf{X}$ is also denoted by $S(X)$ and the truncated signature of X of order n is denoted by $S^n(X)$, i.e $S^n(X) = \pi_n(\mathbf{X})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 1.8 *If the interval $I \subset J$ is a subinterval then we will use the notation $\mathbf{X}_I$ to denote the signature of X over the interval I. If $J = [S, T]$ then we use the notation Δ_J for $\{(s,t) \,|S \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$ and the notation $\mathbf{X}_{s,t}$.for $\mathbf{X}_{[s,t]}$ and $S^N(X)_{s,t}$ for $S^N(X)_{[s,t]}$ where $(s,t) \in \Delta_J$.*

Example 1.1

1. *If X_t is a continuous paths with finite $p-$variation, where $1 \leq p < 2$, then its signature can be defined in the sense of the Young integral[5]. More generally, if X_t is a p-rough path ($p \geq 1$) then the integrals will exist as a result of the general theory of rough paths[extension theorem].*
2. *For a Brownian path, its signature can be defined in the sense of Itô integral or Stratonovich integral. Almost all Brownian paths, with their Levy area processes, are p-rough paths for any $p > 2$. For all (s,t), with probability one, the Stratonovich signature agrees with the canonical rough path signature([5] Page 57).*

The following fundamental theorem of Chen's identity asserts that the signature is a homomorphism between the paths space and its rough path space.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $X : [0, s] \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}$ and $Y : [s, t] \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}$ be two continuous paths with finite $p-$variation where $1 \leq p < 2$. Then*

$$S(X * Y) = S(X) \otimes S(Y) \tag{6}$$

The proof can be found in [5].

1.5 Real valued functions from the set that is the range of the signature

Consider the linear forms on $T((E))$(see [5]). Suppose $(e_1^*, ..., e_n^*)$ are elements of E^*. We can introduce coordinate iterated integrals by setting

$$X_u^{(i)} := \langle e_i^*, X_u \rangle$$

and rewriting $\left\langle e_{i_1}^* \otimes ... \otimes e_{i_n}^*, S(X) \right\rangle$ as the scalar iterated integral of coordinate projections

$$\underset{\substack{u_1<...<u_n \\ u_1,\dots,u_n\in J}}{\int \cdots \int} dX_{u_1}^{(i_1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes dX_{u_n}^{(i_n)}.$$

For example, if $(e_1, ..., e_d)$ is a basis for a finite dimensional space E, and $(e_1^*, ..., e_d^*)$ is a basis for the dual space E^*. Then the elements $(e_I = e_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes e_{i_n})_{I=(i_1,...,i_n)\in\{1,...,d\}^n}$ form a basis of $E^{\otimes n}$. The space $(E^*)^{\otimes n}$ with the basis $(e_I^* = e_{i_1}^* \otimes ... \otimes e_{i_n}^*)_{I=(i_1,...,i_n)\in\{1,...,d\}^n}$ can be canonically identified with the dual basis of $(E^{\otimes n})^*$ so that

$$\langle e_{i_1}^* \otimes ... \otimes e_{i_n}^*, e_{j_1} \otimes ... \otimes e_{j_n} \rangle = \delta_{i_1,j_1} ... \delta_{i_n,j_n},$$

where $\delta_{i,j} = \mathbf{1}_{\{i=j\}}$ and the linear action of $(E^*)^{\otimes n}$ on $E^{\otimes n}$ extends naturally to a linear mapping $(E^*)^{\otimes n} \to T((E))^*$, defined by

$$e_I^*(\mathbf{a}) = e_I^*(a_n).$$

In this case we can also write

$$\mathbf{X}_J = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{i_1,...i_n\in\{1,...d\}} \left(\underset{\substack{u_1<...<u_n \\ u_1,\dots,u_n\in J}}{\int \cdots \int} dX_{u_1}^{(i_1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes dX_{u_n}^{(i_n)} \right) e_{i_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{i_n}.$$

Remark 1.3 *Re-parameterizing a path inside the interval of definition does not change its signature over the maximal interval. Translating a path does not change its signature. We may define an equivalence relation between paths by asking that they have the same signature.*

Definition 1.9 *If E is a Banach space then we define $T(E) \subset T((E))$ to be the tensor series $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, ...)$ for which there is an N depending on $\mathbf{a}$ so that $a_i = 0$ for all $i > N$. In other words it is the space of polynomials (instead of series) in elements of E.*

Remark 1.4 *There is a natural inclusion*

$$T(E^*) \to T((E))^*.$$

Returning to the finite dimensional example and the notation introduced above, the linear forms e_I^*, as I spans the set of finite words in the letters $1, ..., d$, form a basis for $T(E^*)$. For convenience, we introduce two useful functions on $T((E))$.

The first one π^I is defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \pi^I : T((E)) & \to & \mathbb{R}; \\ \mathbf{a} & \mapsto & e_I^*(\mathbf{a}) \end{array}$$

In particular,

$$\pi^I(S(X)) = \underset{\substack{u_1<\ldots<u_n \\ u_1,\ldots,u_n\in J}}{\int\cdots\int} dX_{u_1}^{(i_1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes dX_{u_n}^{(i_n)}$$

where $I = (i_1, ..., i_n)$.

The second one ρ_n *is defined by*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rho_n & : & T((E)) \to E^{\otimes n} \\ (a_0, a_1, ...) & \mapsto & a_n \end{array}$$

where $a_n \in E^{\otimes n}$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.6 The homogeneous Carnot-Caratheodory norm for the truncated signature of a path

In this subsection, we consider the truncated signature of all continuous paths of finite $1-$variation of order n forms a group and we introduce Carnot-Caratheodory norm (CC norm) to this space, which has a nice geometry property-rotational invariance. We refer to [3] for a more detailed discussion about it. We start with the space of continuous paths of finite $1-$variation.

Definition 1.10 *Let* (E, d) *be a metric space and* $x : [0, T] \to E$. *For* $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, *the* $1-$*variation of* x *on* $[s, t]$ *is defined as*

$$|x|_{1-var;[s,t]} = \sup_{\mathcal{D}=(0=s_1<s_2<\ldots<s_N=T)} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} d(x_{t_i}, x_{t_{i+1}})$$

If $|x|_{1-var;[s,t]} < +\infty$ *we say that* x *is of bounded variation or of finite* $1-$*variation on* $[s, t]$. *The space of continuous paths of finite* $1-$*variation on* $[0, T]$ *is denoted by* $C^{1-var}([0, T], E)$.

Definition 1.11 *The set of all truncated signatures of continuous paths of finite length of order* n *is denoted by*

$$G^N(E) := \{S^N(x)_{0,1} : x \in C^{1-var}([0, 1], E)\}$$

Theorem 1.2 *For every* $g \in G^N(E)$, *the so-called " Carnot-Caratheodory norm"*

$$\|g\| = \inf\left\{||\gamma||_{1-var} : S^N(\gamma) = g, \gamma \in C^{1-var}([0, 1], E)\right\}.$$

is finite and achieved at some minimizing path γ^*, *i.e.*

$$\|g\| = ||\gamma^*||_{1-var} \text{ and } S^N(\gamma^*)_{0,1} = g.$$

Definition 1.12 *The p-variation of a geometric rough path γ defined on $[0,T]$, and written $||S^N(\gamma)||_{p-var;[0,T]}$, is defined on paths in $G^N(E)$ to be*

$$||S^N(\gamma)||_{p-var;[0,T]} = \sup_{\mathcal{D}=(0=s_1<s_2<...<s_N=T)} \left(\sum_{\mathcal{D}} \left\| S^N(\gamma)_{s_i,s_{i+1}} \right\|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

where $\|.\|$ is the Carnot-Caratheodory norm.

Definition 1.13 *The norm on $G^N(E)$ denoted by $\|.\|_1$ is homogeneous if and only if it is homogeneous with respect to the dilation operator, and more precisely*

$$\| \langle \varepsilon, g \rangle \|_1 = \varepsilon \, \|g\|_1$$

Theorem 1.3 (Equivalence of homogeneous norms) *All homogeneous norms on $G^N(E)$ are equivalent. More precisely, if $\|.\|_1$ and $\|.\|_2$ are two homogeneous norms, then there exists $C \geq 1$ such that for all $g \in G^N(E)$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{C} \|g\|_1 \leq \|g\|_2 \leq C \, \|g\|_1$$

Lemma 1.1 *The Carnot-Caratheodory norm and the norm defined as follows*

$$|||g||| := \underset{i=1,...,N}{max} |\rho_i(g)|^{1/i}, \; \forall g \in G^N(E)$$

are both homogeneous. By the equivalence of homogeneous norms we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &| \int_{\{0<s_1<...<s_N<T\}} d\gamma_{s_1} \otimes ... \otimes d\gamma_{s_N} |^{1/N} \leq |||S^N(\gamma)||| \\ &\leq C \left\| S^N(\gamma) \right\| \leq C \left\| S^N(\gamma) \right\|_{p-var;[0,T]} \end{aligned}$$

2 Expected Signature of Planar Brownian Motion up to the first exit time of a exponentially twice differentiable domain

In this section we endow $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the canonical basis (e_1, e_2), and let $(B_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote a standard two-dimensional Brownian motion with filtration $(\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$.

Definition 2.1 *If Γ is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ then $\tau_\Gamma = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t \in \Gamma^c\}$ is the first exit time of Brownian motion from the domain Γ.*

Definition 2.2 *The signature of the path $B_{t\in[0,\tau_\Gamma]}$ is defined to be*

$$S\left(B_{[0,\tau_\Gamma]}\right) = (1, B^1_{[0,\tau_\Gamma]}, ..., B^n_{[0,\tau_\Gamma]}, ...) \in T\left((E)\right)$$

defined for almost every path B and each $n \geq 1$ by the Stratonovich iterated integral

$$B^n_{[0,\tau_\Gamma]} = \underset{u_1<...<u_n, \quad u_1,...,u_n\in[0,\tau_\Gamma]}{\int \cdots \int} dB_{u_1} \otimes ... \otimes dB_{u_n}.$$

Definition 2.3 (Expected signature of Brownian motion) *The expected signature of a Brownian motion starting at z and stopping upon the first exit time of a domain Γ, and denoted by $\Phi_\Gamma(z)$, is defined as*

$$\Phi_\Gamma(z) = \mathbb{E}^z[S(B_{[0,\tau_\Gamma]})] \tag{7}$$

where $\tau_\Gamma = \inf\{t \geq 0 : B_t \in \Gamma^c\}$, i.e. the first exit time of the domain Γ.

Definition 2.4 (Exponential smoothness of the domain) *We say that the domain Γ is exponentially twice differentiable if and only if that the function Φ_Γ defined on Γ taking $z \in \Gamma$ to its expected signature $\Phi_\Gamma(z) \in T^2((\mathbb{R}^2))$ is a well defined and twice differentiable function.*

Remark 2.1 *Similarly we also can extend the definition of the expected signature of a Brownian motion to that of the expected signature of the function of a Brownian motion starting at z upon the first exit time of domain Γ denoted by $\Phi_\Gamma^f(z)$, i.e.*

$$\Phi_\Gamma^f(z) = \mathbb{E}^z[S(f(B_t)_{t\in[0,\tau_\Gamma]})]$$

where f is a smooth function mapping Γ to $\mathbb{R}^2$.

In order to discuss the expected signature of Brownian motion further, we introduce an auxiliary function Ψ mapping $\mathbb{R}^2$ to $T((\mathbb{R}^2))$.

Definition 2.5 *Let us denote the disk centered at 0 with radius r by $r\mathbb{D}$. Then we denote by $\Psi(z)$ the expected signature of a Brownian motion started at 0 and run until it leaves the disk $|z|\mathbb{D}$, conditional on that leaving point being z. In formula:*

$$\Psi(z) = \mathbb{E}^0[S(B_{[0,\tau_{|z|\mathbb{D}}]})|B_{\tau_{|z|\mathbb{D}}} = z].$$

We will see that $\Psi(z)$ plays an important role in the derivation of our PDE and in the discussions of the exponentially twice differentiability of the domain. In part, its importance comes from the strong Markov property.

In the following discussion, we first will provide a sufficient condition for the exponentially twice differentiable domain, i.e. the boundedness of domain can guarantee the exponentially twice differentiable domain. Then assuming that Γ is an exponentially twice differentiable domain, we will derive a PDE which characterizes Φ_Γ. In the case of the disk, clearly a bounded domain, the PDE is so explicit that one can use it to identify the solution as a combination of polynomials in an explicit manner.

2.1 The exponential twice differentiability of the domain

In this subsection we are going to discuss the exponential twice differentiability of the domain. We will show that each expected signature of Brownian motion upon its first exit time from a bounded domain is finite firstly.

Theorem 2.1 *Let M be a continuous, $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued local martingale starting at 0 and $S^N(M)$ be the collection of its iterated Stratonovich integrals up to level N, i.e.*

$$1, M_t, ..., \int_{0<s_1<s_2<...<s_N<t} \circ dM_{s_1} \circ ... \circ dM_{s_N}$$

viewed as path in $T^{(n)}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there exists $C = C(N, F, d, |.|)$ such that for all stopping times τ

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left(F\left(|\int_{0<s_1<s_2<...<s_N<\tau} \circ dM_{s_1} \circ ... \circ dM_{s_N}|^{1/N}\right)\right) \\ &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left(F\left(||S^N(M)||_{p-var;[0,\tau]}\right)\right) \\ &\leq C\mathbb{E}\left(F\ \left(|\langle M\rangle_\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $F : \mathbb{R}+ \to \mathbb{R}+$ is moderate, i.e.

1. *F is continuous and increasing;*
2. *$F(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;*
3. *for some (and thus for every $\alpha > 1$)*

$$\sup_{x>0} \frac{F(\alpha x)}{F(x)} < +\infty$$

The proof can be found in [2].

Corollary 2.1 *Let Γ be a bounded domain Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, there exits a constant $C = C(n)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}^z\left[\left|\int\limits_{0<t_1<t_2<...<t_n<\tau_\Gamma} ... \int \circ\, dB_{t_1} \circ \cdots \circ dB_{t_n}\right|\right] \\ &\leq C(n)\mathbb{E}^z\left[||S^n(B)||^{n/2}_{p-var;[0,\tau]}\right] \\ &\leq C(n)\mathbb{E}^z[\tau_\Gamma^{n/2}] < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 2.1 to the case with $M_t = B_t$ and $F(x) = x^n$ and we get

$$\mathbb{E}^z\left[\left|\int\limits_{0<t_1<t_2<...<t_n<\tau_\Gamma} ... \int \circ\, dB_{t_1} \circ \cdots \circ dB_{t_n}\right|\right] \leq C(n)\mathbb{E}^z\left[||S^n(B)||^{n/2}_{p-var;[0,\tau]}\right] \leq C(n)\mathbb{E}^z[\tau_\Gamma^{n/2}]$$

The only thing we need to show that is $\mathbb{E}^z[\tau_\Gamma^{n/2}] < +\infty$. It can be shown that there exists a positive number $\alpha \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}^0[e^{\alpha\tau_{\mathbb{D}}}] < +\infty$$

and due to the scaling property we have

$$\forall r > 0, \mathbb{E}^0[e^{\alpha \tau_{\mathbb{D}}}] = \mathbb{E}^0[e^{\frac{\alpha}{r^2} \tau_{r\mathbb{D}}}]$$

Since Γ is bounded, there exists a positive constant $r \geq 0$ such that $\Gamma \subseteq r\mathbb{D}$. Thus $0 \leq \tau_\Gamma \leq \tau_{R\mathbb{D}}$. It implies that

$$\mathbb{E}^z[e^{\frac{\alpha}{4r^2} \tau_\Gamma}] \leq \mathbb{E}^z[e^{\frac{\alpha}{4r^2} \tau_{z+2r\mathbb{D}}}] = \mathbb{E}^0[e^{\frac{\alpha}{4r^2} \tau_{2r\mathbb{D}}}] = \mathbb{E}^0[e^{\alpha \tau_{\mathbb{D}}}] < +\infty$$

Thus τ_Γ has finite moments, i.e.

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{E}^z[\tau_\Gamma^n] < +\infty$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}^z[\tau_\Gamma^{n/2}] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}^z[\tau_\Gamma^n]} < +\infty$$

Now our proof is complete. ■

Remark 2.2 *Note that for the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$, the expected coordinate signature of Brownian motion is not finite,e.g. the expected signature indexed by* 11*, since the expectation of exit time is infinite. It is a simple example to show that the expected signature of Brownian motion upon the first exit time to some domain might explode in general. Thus $\mathbb{H}$ is not a exponentially twice differentiable domain.*

Corollary 2.2 *Let Γ be a bounded domain. Then $\Phi_\Gamma \in L_1$.*

Proof. Obviously Φ_Γ is a measurable function. For each index I, there exits a constant C such that $|\pi^I(\Phi_\Gamma)| < C$ such that is bounded and it has a compact support. Then

$$\int_\Gamma |\Phi_\Gamma(z)| dz \leq CA(\Gamma) < +\infty$$

where $A(\Gamma)$ is the area of Γ. So $\Phi_\Gamma \in L_1$. ■

In the rest of this subsection, we are going to prove that the expected signature of Brownian motion upon the first exit time of any bounded domain is a twice differentiable function assuming that $\Psi(.)$ is a smooth function which is proved in the latter section(Lemma 2.6), thus any bounded domain is exponentially twice differentiable.

Theorem 2.2 *Every non-empty bounded domain Γ is exponentially twice differentiable.*

Proof. Let us denote the expected signature of Brownian motion starting at any $z \in \Gamma$ upon the first exit time of a bounded domain Γ by $\Phi_\Gamma(z)$. Since we have proved that $\Phi_\Gamma(z)$ is finite. The only thing left to prove is that $\Phi_\Gamma(z)$ is twice differentiable.

Let us recall the definition of the function Ψ. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\Gamma_\varepsilon = \{z \in \Gamma | dist(z, \partial\Gamma) > \varepsilon\}$. For every $z \in \Gamma_\varepsilon$, by Markov property of the expected signature of Brownian motion, which comes from Lemma 2.8 in the later section, we have

$$\Phi_\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi(re^{i\theta}) \otimes \Phi(z + re^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

where $r < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$.

Then

$$\Phi_\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi(re^{i\theta}) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z + re^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} K(r) dr \tag{8}$$

where $K(r)$ is a smooth distribution with a compact support of $[0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}]$. Let F be a map from $\mathbb{R}^2 \to T((\mathbb{R}^2))$ defined by:

$$F_\varepsilon(z) = \Psi(-z) K(|z|)$$

Rewriting (8) we have

$$\Phi_\Gamma(z) = \int_\Gamma F_\varepsilon(z - y) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(y) dy = F_\varepsilon * \Phi_\Gamma(z)$$

where $*$ is the convolution. Since Ψ is smotth and $K$is a smooth functions with a compact support, then so F_ε is a still smooth function with a compact support. It is easy to show that

$$||F_\varepsilon||_{L_1} + || \bigtriangledown F_\varepsilon||_{L_1} + || \bigtriangleup F_\varepsilon||_{L_1} < +\infty$$

On the other hand, $\Phi_\Gamma \in L^1$ as well. By Theorem 1.3 at Page 3 of [7], we have

$$||F_\varepsilon * \Phi_\Gamma||_{L_1} + || \bigtriangledown F_\varepsilon * \Phi_\Gamma||_{L_1} + || \bigtriangleup F_\varepsilon * \Phi_\Gamma||_{L_1} < +\infty$$

Thus $F_\varepsilon * \Phi_\Gamma$ is twice differentiable, since $F_\varepsilon * \Phi_\Gamma$, $(\bigtriangledown F_\varepsilon) * \Phi_\Gamma$ and $(\bigtriangleup F_\varepsilon) * \Phi_\Gamma \in L^1$ Moreover, because $\Gamma = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} \Gamma_\varepsilon$, Φ_Γ is smooth in Γ. ■

Remark 2.3 *Actually following this proof we can get Φ_Γ is infinitely differentiable in a non-empty bounded domain. Alternatively, since we have proved that Φ_Γ is twice differentiable so that our PDE provides the integral representation for Φ_Γ, this also implies that Φ_Γ is infinitely differentiable.*

2.2 Main properties of the expected signature of Brownian motion

2.2.1 Translation invariance

Lemma 2.1 (Translation invariance)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^z[S(B_{[0,\tau_{z+\Gamma}]})] &= \mathbb{E}^0[S\left(B_{[0,\tau_\Gamma]}\right)] \\ \mathbb{E}^z[S(B_{[0,\tau_{z+\Gamma}]}) | B_{\tau_{z+\Gamma}} = z + a] &= \mathbb{E}^0[S(B_{[0,\tau_\Gamma]}) | B_{\tau_\Gamma} = a] \end{aligned}$$

where $a \in \partial\Gamma$.

2.2.2 Scaling property

Lemma 2.2 (Scaling property)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}(0) &= \langle\varepsilon, \Phi_{\mathbb{D}}(0)\rangle \\
\rho_n(\Phi_{\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}(0)) &= \varepsilon^n \rho_n(\Phi_{\mathbb{D}}(0)) \\
\Psi(\varepsilon) &= \langle\varepsilon, \Psi(1)\rangle \\
\rho_n(\Psi(\varepsilon)) &= \varepsilon^n \rho_n(\Psi(1))
\end{aligned}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\varepsilon \in (0, +\infty)$.

2.2.3 Rotation property

Lemma 2.3 (Rotation property) *For every* $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ *and any index* $I = i_1 i_2 ... i_n$ *with each* $i_k \in \{1, 2\}$*:*

$$\pi^I(\Psi(e^{i\theta})) = \sum_{J=j_1\dots j_n} (\prod_{k=1}^{n} \Theta(\theta)_{i_k,j_k}) \pi^J(\Psi(1))$$

where $\Theta(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$ *and* $\Theta(\theta)_{i,j}$ *is* (i, j) *entry of the matrix* $\Theta(\theta)$.

Proof. Let B_t be the Brownian motion starting at the origin and stopped for the first exit time of the unit disk on condition that the exit point is 1. Then $\tilde{B}_t = \Theta(\theta)B_t$ is the Brownian motion starting at the origin and stopped at the first exit time of the unit disk on condition that the exit point is $e^{i\theta}$ by the conformal invariance of Brownian motion. It is obvious that $\tilde{B}_t$ is just a linear transformation of B_t, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{B}_t^{(1)} &= \cos(\theta)B_t^{(1)} - \sin(\theta)B_t^{(2)} \\
\tilde{B}_t^{(2)} &= \sin(\theta)B_t^{(1)} + \cos(\theta)B_t^{(2)}
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(e^{i\theta}) \quad &= \pi^I(\mathbb{E}^0[S(\tilde{B}_{[0,\tau_{\mathbb{D}}]})|\tilde{B}_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} = e^{i\theta}]) \\
&= \mathbb{E}^0[\int\!\!\dots\!\!\int_{0<t_1<\dots<t_n<\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} \circ\, d\tilde{B}_{t_1}^{(i_1)} \circ \dots \circ d\tilde{B}_{t_n}^{(i_n)} | \tilde{B}_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} = e^{i\theta}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}^0[\int\!\!\dots\!\!\int_{0<t_1<\dots<t_n<\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} \circ\, d(\Theta(\theta)B)_{t_1}^{(i_1)} \circ \dots \circ d(\Theta(\theta)B)_{t_n}^{(i_n)} | B_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} = 1]
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Plugging (9) into (10)

$$\pi^I(\Psi(e^{i\theta})) = \sum_{J=j_1\dots j_n} (\prod_{k=1}^{n} \Theta(\theta)_{i_k,j_k}) \pi^J(\Psi(1))$$

■

2.2.4 An application of Strong Markov property

Lemma 2.4 (Strong Markov property) *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D} \subseteq \Gamma$,*

$$\Phi_\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E}^z[S(B_{[0,\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}]})|B_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}} = z + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}] \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z + \varepsilon e^{i\theta})\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \tag{11}$$

Proof. By Chen's identity which states that the signature of the concatenation of two paths is the product of the signature of those paths, and $S(B_{[0,\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}]}|B_0 = z)$ is $\mathfrak{F}_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}}$-measurable, thus

$$\mathbb{E}^z[S(B_{[0,\tau_\Gamma]})|\mathfrak{F}_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}}] = S(B_{[0,\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}]}) \otimes \mathbb{E}[S(B_{[\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}},\tau_\Gamma]})|\mathfrak{F}_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}}]$$

where $z + \varepsilon\mathbb{D} \subseteq \Gamma$.
As it is known that B_t has strong Markov property,

$$E[S(B_{[\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}},\tau_\Gamma]})|\mathfrak{F}_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}}] = E[S(B_{[\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}},\tau_\Gamma]})|B_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}}] = \Phi_\Gamma(B_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}})$$

which implies that

$$\mathbb{E}^z[S(B_{[0,\tau_\Gamma]})|\mathfrak{F}_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}}] = S(B_{[0,\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}]}]) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(B_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}}) \tag{12}$$

By tower property, we have

$$\Phi_\Gamma(z) = \mathbb{E}^z[\mathbb{E}^z[S(B_{[0,\tau_\Gamma]})|\mathfrak{F}_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}}]] \tag{13}$$

Substituting Equation (12) into it, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\Gamma(z) &= \mathbb{E}^z[S(B_{[0,\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}]}) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(B_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}})] \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E}^z[S(B_{[0,\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}]})|B_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}} = z + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}] \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z + \varepsilon e^{i\theta})\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}\end{aligned}$$

■

2.2.5 The smoothness of Ψ

In this subsection, we focus on the discussion of the smoothness of Ψ. We start with the proof of the finiteness of $\Psi(1)$, and then show that $\Psi(.)$ is smooth. We end with the derivation of the first two gradings of $\Psi(.)$, which is important for us to derive the PDE of $\Phi(.)$ later.

Lemma 2.5 $\Psi(1)$ *is well defined.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{B}_t$ be Brownian motion starting at zero in the unit disk. Let $M_t = \tilde{B}_{\tau_\mathbb{D} \wedge t}$ be the stopped process. It is obvious from the Burkholder inequalities that the exit time $\tau_\mathbb{D}$ of $\tilde{B}_t$ from the disk (or any bounded set) has moments of all orders.

By the equivalence of homogeneous norms on $G^N(E)$ (Lemma 1.3), we have

$$\left|\int_{0<s_1<s_2<\ldots<s_N<\tau} \circ dM_{s_1} \circ \ldots \circ dM_{s_N}\right| \leq C \left\|S^N(M)\right\|^N_{p-var;[0,\tau_{\mathbb{D}}]}$$

Thus it holds as well

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^0\left[\left|\int_{0<s_1<s_2<\ldots<s_N<\tau} \circ dM_{s_1} \circ \ldots \circ dM_{s_N}\right| |M_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} = 1\right] \\ \leq \quad & C\mathbb{E}^0\left[\left\|S^N(M)\right\|^N_{p-var;[0,\tau_{\mathbb{D}}]} |M_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} = 1\right] \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of Carnot-Caratheodory norm, it is obvious that

$$||S^N(e^{i\theta}M)||_{p-var;[0,\tau]}$$

is invariant with θ. Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}^0\left[\left\|S^N(M)\right\|^N_{p-var;[0,\tau_{\mathbb{D}}]} |M_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} = 1\right] = \mathbb{E}^0\left[\left\|S^N(M)\right\|^N_{p-var;[0,\tau_{\mathbb{D}}]}\right]$$

because there is nothing significant in choosing the exit point to be 1 providing the norms used respect rotation; using the Burkholder type theorem of Victoir/Lepingle[2] one can control the p-variation of a martingale in terms of its bracket process and so there exists a positive constant $C > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}^0\left[||S^N(M)||^N_{p-var;[0,\tau_{\mathbb{D}}]}\right] \leq C\mathbb{E}^0[\tau_{\mathbb{D}}^{\frac{N}{2}}]$$

Finally we will have that there exists a const $C \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi_n(\Psi(1))| &= |\mathbb{E}^0\left[\int_{0<s_1<s_2<\ldots<s_N<\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} \circ dM_{s_1} \circ \ldots \circ dM_{s_N} \middle| M_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} = 1\right]| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}^0\left[|\int_{0<s_1<s_2<\ldots<s_N<\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} \circ dM_{s_1} \circ \ldots \circ dM_{s_N}| \middle| M_{\tau_{\mathbb{D}}} = 1\right] \\ &\leq C\mathbb{E}^0[\tau_{\mathbb{D}}^{\frac{N}{2}}] < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 2.6 Ψ *is a smooth function in* $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. By the scaling property and the rotation property of the expected signature on condition of leaving position, we have

$$\pi^I(\Psi(re^{i\theta})) = \sum_{J=j_1\ldots j_n} a_{I,J}(re^{i\theta})\pi^J(\Psi(1))$$

where $a_{I,J}(re^{i\theta}) = r^n(\prod_{k=1}^n \Theta(\theta)_{i_k,j_k})$ and $\Theta(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix}$. Rewriting the coefficient $a_{I,J}(re^{i\theta})$ in Cartesian coordinate, the coefficient is the homogeneous polynomial of degree no more than n in terms of z_1 and z_2. Obviously it is infinitely differentiable. ■

Remark 2.4 *If* Γ *is a bounded domain and* I *is an index, then*

$$z \to \pi^I(\Psi(z))\mathbf{1}_\Gamma(z)$$

is integrable. This remark will be useful in proof of exponentially twice differentiability property for bounded domains.

Lemma 2.7

$$\pi_2(\Psi(z)) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i e_i + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} z_i^2 e_i \otimes e_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Psi(0)}{\partial z_i} &= e_i, \textit{ for } i = 1, 2 \\ \Delta(\Psi(0)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The term of tensor degree one in Ψ is the expected increment of the conditioned path, so it is not random and $\rho_1(\Psi(z)) = z$. The expectation of Levy area of the Brownian motion conditioned leave the disk at any particular point equals to zero. Thus the term $\rho_2(\Psi(z))$ only contains the symmetric "increment squared" part, thus we have

$$\pi_2(\Psi(z)) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i e_i + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{2} z_i^2 e_i \otimes e_i$$

Also since the n^{th} term of Ψ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n, immediately we will have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Psi(0)}{\partial z_i} &= e_i, \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \\ \Delta(\Psi(0)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i. \end{aligned}$$

■

2.3 The PDE for the expected signature of two dimensional Brownian motion up to the first exit time from a exponentially twice differentiable domain

In this section, our goal is to derive the PDE for the expected signature of Brownian motion up to the first exit time from a exponentially twice differentiable domain.

Lemma 2.8 *For every* $z \in \Gamma$,

$$\Phi_\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi(\varepsilon e^{i\theta}) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z + \varepsilon e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \tag{14}$$

Proof. From strong Markov property, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\Gamma(z) &= \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E}^z[S(B_{[0,\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}]})|B_{\tau_{z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}} = z+\varepsilon e^{i\theta}] \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z+\varepsilon e^{i\theta})\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbb{E}^0[S(B_{[0,\tau_{\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}]})|B_{\tau_{\varepsilon\mathbb{D}}} = \varepsilon e^{i\theta}] \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z+\varepsilon e^{i\theta})\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi(\varepsilon e^{i\theta}) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z+\varepsilon e^{i\theta})\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \qquad (15)\end{aligned}$$

where ε is sufficiently small such that $z+\varepsilon\mathbb{D} \subseteq \Gamma$.
The second equality comes from the translation invariance property. ■

Theorem 2.3 *[A PDE of the expected signature of Brownian motion] Let Γ be a exponentially twice differentiable domain, the expected signature of Brownian motion up to the first exit time from the domain Γ should satisfy the following PDE:*

$$\triangle\, \Phi_\Gamma(z) = -(\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(e_i \otimes \frac{\partial \Phi_\Gamma(z)}{\partial z_i}\right),\ \textit{if } z \in \Gamma \qquad (16)$$

with the boundary condition:

$$\Phi_\Gamma(z) = \mathbf{1},\ \textit{if } z \in \partial\Gamma \qquad (17)$$

and the initial condition:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) &= 1,\ \textit{if } z \in \bar{\Gamma} \qquad (18)\\ \rho_1(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) &= 0,\ \textit{if } z \in \bar{\Gamma} \qquad (19)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any fixed $z \in \Gamma$, let us consider a function

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \Gamma &\to T((E)) \\ \epsilon\mathbb{D} &\mapsto \Psi(y) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z+y)\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon = dist(z, \partial\Gamma)$.
By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\Phi_\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi(\varepsilon e^{i\theta}) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z+\varepsilon e^{i\theta})\frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$$

for every $z \in \Gamma$.
It implies that φ satisfies the mean value property at 0, i.e.

$$\varphi(0) = \Psi(0) \otimes \Phi(z) = \Phi(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\varepsilon e^{i\theta})\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \qquad (20)$$

for every $\varepsilon \leq \epsilon$.
We proved the differentiability of Ψ in Lemma 2.6 and by the regularity of the

domain Γ we have that Φ_Γ is twice differentiable, so is φ. By the mean value property and differentiability of φ at point 0 we will have that

$$\Delta(\varphi(y))|_{y=0} = 0$$

By chain's rule, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(\varphi(y))|_{y=0} \\ = \ & \Delta(\Psi(0)) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\partial(\Psi(0))}{\partial z_i} \otimes \frac{\partial(\Phi(z))}{\partial z_i} + \Psi(0) \otimes \Delta(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) \quad (21) \\ = \ & 0 \end{aligned}$$

Recall Lemma 2.7 and the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(0) &= \mathbf{1} & (22) \\ \frac{\partial(\Psi(0))}{\partial z_i} &= e_i, \text{for } i = 1,2 & (23) \\ \Delta(\Psi(0)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i & (24) \end{aligned}$$

Thus after plugging Eqn. 22 into Eqn. 21 and rearranging the equation, finally we got

$$\triangle\, \Phi_\Gamma(z) = -(\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(z) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(e_i \otimes \frac{\partial \Phi_\Gamma(z)}{\partial z_i} \right) \quad (25)$$

By the definition of the expected signature we have the boundary condition,

$$\Phi_\Gamma(z) = \mathbf{1}, \text{ if } z \in \partial\Gamma$$

By the definition of the signature, it is obvious that $\rho_0(\Phi(z)) = 1$ and

$$\rho_1(\Phi(z)) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbb{E}^z(\int_0^{\tau_\Gamma} \circ dB_t^{(i)})e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbb{E}^z(B_{\tau_\Gamma}^{(i)} - z^{(i)})e_i = 0$$

since Brownian motion is a martingale. ■

Remark 2.5 *The proof to our PDE theorem of the expected signature is actually independent of the dimension of Brownian motion. Our theorem is still valid for higher dimensional cases.*

The following corollary is an equivalent statement of Theorem 2.3, which states how to use PDE system to solve each term of expected signature recursively.

Corollary 2.3 *Let Γ be a exponentially twice differentiable domain. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$, the n^{th} term of the expected signature of Brownian motion up to the first exiting time of the domain Γ should satisfy the following PDE:*

$$\Delta(\rho_n(\Phi_\Gamma(z))) = -2\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes \frac{\partial \rho_{n-1}(\Phi_\Gamma(z))}{\partial z_i} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i\right) \otimes \rho_{n-2}(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) \quad (26)$$

with the boundary condition is $\rho_n(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) = 0$, if $z \in \partial\Gamma$ and $n \geq 2$. Moreover $\rho_0(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) = 1, \rho_1(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) = 0, \forall z \in \bar{\Gamma}$.

Remark 2.6 *From the corollary it is important to notice that if we have computed the $(n-1)^{th}$ and $(n-2)^{th}$ term of expected signature, the right hand side of the PDE of n^{th} term is known. There is a classical solution to this PDE problem and it implies that from the PDE and the boundary condition we can solve all the terms of expected signature recursively. We will explain this in the next section.*

2.4 Expected signature solved by a PDE approach

Let us recall the following important theorem in PDE theory.

Theorem 2.4 *Given functions $\varphi : \partial\Omega \to R, f : \Omega \to R$, which satisfy some regularity conditions, the solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Poisson equation*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u(x) = f(x), & \text{for } x \in \Omega; \\ u(x) = \varphi(x), & \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

is given by the representation formula

$$u(y) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi(x) \frac{\partial G(x,y)}{\partial V_x} do(x) + \int_\Omega f(x) G(x,y) dx$$

where $do(x)$ is the volume element of $\partial\Omega$, V_x is the exterior normal of $\partial\Omega$ and $G(x,y)$ is the Green function of the domain Ω, and $y \in \Omega$.[4]

Having this theorem in mind, we will give the recurrence relation between higher order and lower order terms of expected signature, which is a direct consequence of the above theorem, by simply plugging the PDE and the boundary condition in our setting into the theorem. It also provides insights of how to compute the expected signature recursively provided the first two terms of expected signature, which are known.

Theorem 2.5 *Let $\Phi_\Gamma(z)$ defined as before. Then $\forall n \geq 2$*

$$\rho_n(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) = \int_\Gamma \varphi_n(\nu) G(\nu, z) d\nu$$

where

$$\varphi_n(z) = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i\right) \otimes \rho_{(n-2)}(\Phi_\Gamma(z)) - 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes \frac{\partial \rho_{(n-1)}(\Phi_\Gamma(z))}{\partial z_i}\right)$$

and $G(\nu, z)$ *is the Green function of the domain* Γ.

2.5 A concrete example: Brownian Motion in the unit disk

Before considering the case with the unit disk, we look at one-dimensional Brownian motion starting at x upon the fist exit time from the interval $[-1, 1]$, where $x \in (-1, 1)$.
After an easy computation, the probability of hitting 1 at the exit time is

$$\mathbb{P}^x(B_{\tau_{[-1,1]}} = 1) = \frac{x+1}{2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_n(\Phi_{[-1,1]}) &= \frac{(1-x)^n}{n!}\mathbb{P}^x(B_{\tau_{[-1,1]}} = 1) + \frac{(-1-x)^n}{n!}\mathbb{P}^x(B_{\tau_{[-1,1]}} = -1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n!}(1-x^2)\left((1-x)^{n-1} - (-1-x)^{n-1}\right)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using a similar approach to the one in two dimensional case, we can derive that the expected signature $\Phi_{[-1,1]}(x)$ should satisfy the following ODE:

$$\frac{d^2\Phi_{[-1,1]}(x)}{dx^2} = -\Phi_{[-1,1]}(x) - 2\frac{d\Phi_{[-1,1]}(x)}{dx}$$

with the boundary condition

$$\rho_n(\Phi_{[-1,1]}(x)) = 0, \text{ if } x = \pm 1 \text{ for } \forall n \geq 2$$

and the initial condition

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_0(\Phi_{[-1,1]}(x)) &= 1 \\ \rho_1(\Phi_{[-1,1]}(x)) &= 0 \text{ for all } x \in [-1, 1]\end{aligned}$$

It is remarkable that this ODE has a similar form as the PDE we derived in two dimension, and the explicit formula for expected signature of the 1-dim Brownian motion satisfies such ODEs and can be uniquely determined by the ODE system, initial condition and boundary condition.

After discussing the one-dimensional case, we will illustrate how to use our PDE approach to calculate the expected signature of the Brownian motion upon the first exit time from the unit disk. To simplify our notation, let us denote this expected signature of Brownian motion starting at z upon the first exit time by

$\Phi(z)$. Based on our previous discussion, $\Phi(z)$ is the solution to the following PDE problem:

$$\begin{cases} \triangle\Phi(z) = -\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i\right) \otimes \Phi(z) - 2\sum\limits_{i=1}^{2}\left(e_i \otimes \frac{\partial\Phi(z)}{\partial z_i}\right) & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{D}; \\ \Phi(z) = \mathbf{1}, & \text{if } |z| = 1. \end{cases}$$

Recall Corollary 2.3, and we have for every $n \geq 2$,

$$\triangle\rho_n(\Phi(z)) = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i\right) \otimes \rho_{n-2}(\Phi(z)) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(e_i \otimes \frac{\partial\rho_{n-1}(\Phi(z))}{\partial z_i}\right)$$

We can find the whole expected signature recursively with $\rho_0(\Phi(z)) = 1$ and $\rho_1(\Phi(z)) = 0$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

In the following part, we are going to prove that the expected coordinate signature of Brownian motion upon the fist exit time of the unit disk is a polynomial of z_1 and z_2.
To be convenient, we introduce this definition of the polynomial forms for the function which takes value in $(\mathbb{R}^2)^{\otimes n}$.

Definition 2.6 *Let the function f be a map from Γ to $(\mathbb{R}^2)^{\otimes n}$, where Γ is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ endowed with the canonical basis. We say that f is in the polynomial form with a factor g if for every index I with length n, $\pi^I(f(.))$ is a polynomial with a common factor g, where g is a polynomial.*

Lemma 2.9 *For any given polynomial of degree n denoted by $f : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R}$, the solution to the following PDE*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta F(z) = f(z), & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{D}; \\ F(z) = 0, & \text{if } |z| = 1. \end{cases}$$

exists and it is unique. Moreover it is a polynomial of degree no more than $n+2$ with a factor $(1 - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2)$.

We will prove this lemma in two different ways. The first one can be applied to a higher dimensional case, but the second one can give an algorithm to calculate the solution F.

Proof. For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us denote the space of the polynomials with degree no more than n by $P[n]$. Define the linear operator L which maps $P[n]$ to $P[n]$ as follows:

$$L(f)(z) := \Delta((1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2) f(z))$$

where $f \in P[n]$.
We are going to show that $dim(Im(L)) = dim(P[n])$. Let us consider $Ker(L) = \{g \in P[n] | L(g) = 0\}$. For every $g \in Ker(L)$, let G be $G(z) := (1 - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2)g(z)$. Then $G \in P[n+2]$ and satisfies the following PDE problem

$$\Delta G(z) = 0 \text{ if } z \in \mathbb{D}$$

and due the boundary condition

$$G(z) = 0, \text{ if } |z| = 1$$

By strong maximum principle $G(z) = 0$ is the unique solution to this PDE problem, then we have $Ker(L) = 0$ and $dim(Ker(L)) = \{0\}$. Since L is a linear operator, by rank-nullity theorem, we have

$$dim(Im(L)) = dim(P[n]) - dim(Ker(L)) = dim(P[n])$$

It is easy to show that $Im(L) \subseteq P[n]$. Then we can claim that L is a bijection and $Im(L) = P[n]$, which means that for every $f \in P[n]$, there exists a unique polynomial $g \in P[n]$ such that

$$L(g) = f$$

Equivalently for every $f \in P[n]$, there exits a unique polynomial $F \in P[n+2]$: $F(z) := (1 - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2)g(z)$ which is the unique solution to the following PDE:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta F(z) = f(z), & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{D}; \\ F(z) = 0, & \text{if } |z| = 1. \end{cases}$$

■

The following is another way to prove Lemma 2.9, which gives us an algorithm to solve the F completely.

Proof. We adopt the notation of $P[n]$ and the linear operator L used in the above proof. To prove the lemma, it is equivalent to prove that for $f \in P[n]$ with $n \geq 0$, there exists a homogeneous polynomial denoted by g_n and a polynomial R_n which is a polynomial of degree strictly less than n if $n \geq 1$ and $R_0 = 0$ such that

$$L(g_n) = f^* + R_n$$

where f^* is the leading terms of f.
For $n = 0$, each polynomial $f \in P[n]$ is a constant function, i.e. $f(z) = c \; \forall z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $g(z) := -\frac{c}{4}$ satisfies

$$\Delta((1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2)g(z)) = f(z) = c \tag{27}$$

It is easy to show that the solution to this PDE should be unique. Thus the statement is true for $n = 0$.
For $n \geq 1$, since g_n is a homogeneous polynomial of degree n, we have

$$L(g_n)(z) = -4(n+1)g_n(z) + (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2)\Delta(g_n(z))$$

The leading term of $L(g_n)(z))$ is

$$-4(n+1)g_n(z) - \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2 \Delta(g_n(z))$$

which should match f^*.
Let us write g_n in the form:

$$g_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j z_1^j z_2^{n-j}$$

and f^* in the form:

$$f^*(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_j z_1^j z_2^{n-j}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & -4(n+1)g_n(z) - \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2 \Delta(g_n(z)) \\ = & \sum_{j=0}^{n} [-4(n+1) - j(j-1)1_{\{2 \leq j \leq n\}} - (n-j)(n-j-1)1_{\{0 \leq j \leq n-2\}}] a_j z_1^j z_2^{n-j} \\ & - \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} (j+2)(j+1) a_{j+2} z_1^j z_2^{n-j} - \sum_{j=2}^{n} (n-j+2)(n-j+1) a_{j-2} z_1^j z_2^{n-j} \end{aligned}$$

Whether there exists g_n such that the leading term of $\Delta((1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2) g_n(z))$ is $f^*(z)$ depends on the following linear equation has solution or not:

$$\begin{aligned} & [-4(n+1) - j(j-1)1_{\{2 \leq j \leq n\}} - (n-j)(n-j-1)1_{\{0 \leq j \leq n-2\}}] a_j \\ & -(j+2)(j+1) a_{j+2} 1_{\{0 \leq j \leq n-2\}} - a_{j-2}(n-j+2)(n-j+1)1_{\{2 \leq j \leq n\}} = b_j \end{aligned}$$

where $j = 0, 1, ..., n$
or equivalently in the matrix form:

$$M_n \vec{a} = \vec{b} \tag{28}$$

where $\vec{a} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ : \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}$, $\vec{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ : \\ b_n \end{pmatrix}$ and M_n is a $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix which is defined as below:

$$\begin{cases} M_n(j,j) = [-4(n+1) - (n-j)(n-j-1)], & \text{if } j < 2; \\ M_n(j,j) = [-4(n+1) - j(j-1) - (n-j)(n-j-1)], & \text{if } j \geq 2 \text{ and } j \leq n-2; \\ M_n(j,j) = [-4(n+1) - j(j-1)], & \text{if } j > n-2 \text{ and } j \leq n; \\ M_n(j,j+2) = -(j+2)(j+1), & \text{if } j \leq n-2; \\ M_n(j,j-2) = -(n-j+2)(n-j+1), & \text{if } j \geq 2; \\ M_n(i,j) = 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The final step is to prove that M_n is invertible. It is easily verified that M_n is strictly diagonally dominant, since we have

$$|M_n(j,j)| - \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^{n} |M_n(i,j)| = 4(n+1) > 0$$

By the Gershgorin circle theorem, a strictly diagonally dominant matrix is nonsingular. So M_n is invertible and there exists the unique solution $\vec{a}$ to Eqn. 28:

$$\vec{a} = M_n^{-1}\vec{b}$$

i.e. there exits a unique g_n such that the leading term of $\Delta((1 - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2)g_n(z))$ equals to f^*. By induction, we can claim that for every polynomial f of degree n, there exists the unique polynomial g of degree no more than n such that

$$L(g)(z) = f(z)$$

■

Theorem 2.6 *For each* $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $\rho_n(\Phi(z))$ *is in the polynomial form of degree no more than* n *with the factor* $(1 - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2)$.

Proof. We proved it by induction.

For $n = 1$, $\rho_1(\Phi(z)) = 0$ and it has a factor $(1 - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2)$.

For $n = 2$, by Corollary 2.3 we have $\rho_2(\Phi(z))$ satisfies PDE for $\rho_2(\Phi_z)$:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta\rho_2(\Phi(z)) = -\sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i, & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{D}; \\ \rho_2(\Phi(z)) = 0, & \text{if } |z| = 1. \end{cases}$$

By the second way of proof of Lemma 2.9, we can calculate the unique solution $\rho_2(\Phi(z)) = \frac{1}{4}(1 - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2) e_i \otimes e_i$. So our statement is true for $n = 1, 2$.

Suppose that for every $n < N$, it holds. We are going to prove that it is true for $n = N$.
By Corollary 2.3, we obtain that for every $n \geq 2$,

$$\triangle \rho_n(\Phi(z)) = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i\right) \otimes \rho_{n-2}(\Phi(z)) - 2\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(e_i \otimes \frac{\partial \rho_{n-1}(\Phi(z))}{\partial z_i}\right)$$

By the induction hypothesis, it is easy to show that the right hand side should be in the polynomial form of degree no more than $n-2$. Using Lemma 2.9, each $\pi^I(\Phi_.)$ with $|I| = n$ should be a polynomial of degree less than n with a factor $1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2$, so $\rho_n(\Phi(.))$ is in the polynomial form of degree less than n with common factor $1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2$. Now our proof is complete. ■

Now we give the truncated expected signature of Brownian motion upon the first exit time of the unit disk up to degree 4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_2(\Phi(z)) &= \frac{1}{4}(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2)(\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i) \\
\rho_3(\Phi(z)) &= (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2)(-\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1}{8} z_i e_i) \otimes (\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i) \\
\rho_4(\Phi(z)) &= (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2} z_i^2)\left(D_1(z) e_1 \otimes e_1 + \frac{z_1 z_2}{24}(e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1) + D_2(z) e_2 \otimes e_2\right) \\
&\quad \otimes \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} e_i \otimes e_i\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1(z) &= \frac{7}{192} z_1^2 - \frac{1}{192} z_2^2 + \frac{1}{64} \\
D_2(z) &= \frac{7}{192} z_2^2 - \frac{1}{192} z_1^2 + \frac{1}{64}
\end{aligned}$$

2.6 A discrete analogy: the expected signature of a simple random walk up to an exit time

Let $X_1, X_2, ...$ be independent, identically distributed random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ taking values in the integer lattice Z^d with

$$\mathbf{P}\{X_j = e\} = \frac{1}{2d}, |e| = 1$$

A simple random walk starting at $x \in Z^d$ is a stochastic process S_n indexed by the nonnegative integers, with $S_0 = x$. We denote by Γ a regular domain of the integer lattice and denote by τ_Γ the first exiting time of the domain Γ. Moreover $\Phi_\Gamma(z)$ is defined as the expected signature of such random walk starting at x and ending for the first exit time of the boundary of the domain $\Gamma(\Gamma \subseteq Z^d$ is a finite set). By multiplicative property of the signature and strong Markov property of the simply random walk, we have the following important equations:

$$\Phi_\Gamma(x) = \sum_{|e_j|=1} \frac{1}{2d} \exp(e_j) \otimes \Phi_\Gamma(x + e_j) \tag{29}$$

where e_j is the unit vector in Z^d with j^{th} component 1.
Rewriting Eqn. 29, we will have

$$\rho_n(\Phi_\Gamma(x)) = \sum_{|e_j|=1} \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(e_j)^{\otimes i}}{i!} \otimes \rho_{n-i}(\Phi_\Gamma(x + e_j))$$

It is equivalent to

$$-\Delta\rho_n(\Phi_\Gamma(x)) = \sum_{|e_j|=1} \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(e_j)^{\otimes i}}{i!} \otimes \rho_{n-i}(\Phi_\Gamma(x + e_j)) \tag{30}$$

Besides it is easy to verify that the boundary condition of $\Phi_\Gamma(x)$ should satisfy

$$\Phi_\Gamma(x) = \mathbf{1} = (1, 0, 0, ...), \text{if } x \in \partial\Gamma \tag{31}$$

We summarize our result in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7 *Let $\Gamma \subseteq Z^d$ be a finite set and $\Phi_\Gamma(x)$ is the same as we defined before. Then $\Phi_\Gamma(x)$ should satisfies that for each $n \geq 2$*

$$\begin{aligned} &(a) \quad \Delta\rho_n(\Phi_\Gamma(x)) = -\sum_{|e_j|=1} \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(e_j)^{\otimes i}}{i!} \otimes \rho_{n-i}(\Phi_\Gamma(x + e_j)), \ \textit{if } x \in \Gamma \\ &(b) \quad \rho_n(\Phi_\Gamma(x)) = 0 \ \textit{if } x \in \partial\Gamma \end{aligned}$$

and $\rho_0(x) = 1, \rho_1(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \bar{\Gamma}$.

Remark 2.7 *Notice that the right hand side of Eqn. 30 is determined totally by the truncated expected signature up to degree $n-1$. It indicates that we can solve $\Phi_\Gamma(x)$ recursively just as the Brownian motion case. The classical potential theory in Theorem 2.8(Page 25[1])to guarantees that we can recursively solve a system of the finite difference problems to obtain the whole expected signature of a simple random walk upon the first exit time.*

Theorem 2.8 *Let $\Gamma \subseteq Z^d$ be a finite set, $F : \partial\Gamma \to R, g : \Gamma \to R$. Then the unique function $f : \bar{A} \to R$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} &(a) \quad \Delta f(x) = -g(x), \ x \in A \\ &(b) \quad f(x) = F(x), x \in \partial A, \end{aligned}$$

is

$$f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[F(S_\tau) + \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-1} g(S_j)]$$

Immediately we have the following theorem in our setting.

Theorem 2.9 *Let* $\Gamma \subseteq Z^d$ *be a finite set and* $\Phi_\Gamma(x)$ *is the same as we defined before. Then* $\Phi_\Gamma(x)$ *should satisfies that for each* $n \geq 2$

$$\rho_n(\Phi_\Gamma(x)) = \mathbb{E}^x[\sum_{j=0}^{\tau-1} g_n(S_j)]$$

where

$$g_n(x) = \sum_{|e_j|=1} \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(e_j)^{\otimes i}}{i!} \otimes \rho_{n-i}(\Phi_\Gamma(x+e_j))$$

Moreover $\rho_0(\Phi_\Gamma(x)) = 1, \rho_1(\Phi_\Gamma(x)) = 0$ *for every* $x \in \bar{\Gamma}$.

3 Conclusion

The PDE for the expected signature of Brownian motion upon the hitting time does not depend on the domain, but the boundary condition does. In some sense, the PDE fully characterizes Brownian motion.

We also identify the finite difference equations for the simple random walk to characterize its expected signature as well. It is interesting to notice that for the discrete case, the n^{th} term of the expected signature of a simple random walk does not only depend on $(n-1)^{th}$ and $(n-2)^{th}$ term as in the Brownian case, but also depends on all the terms of the expected signature upon the $(n-1)^{th}$ degree.

It would be interesting in extending this result to embedded Markovian diffusion processes.

References

[1] Gregory F.Lawler. *Intersections of random walk.* Probability and its application. Birkhauser, 1996.

[2] Peter Friz and Nicolas Victoir. The Burkholder-Davis-Gundy Inequality for Enhanced Martingales. *Lecture notes in Mathematics*, pages 421–438, 2008.

[3] Peter K. Friz and Nicolas B. Victoir. *Multidimensional Stochastic Processes as Rough Paths: theory and application.* Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[4] Jurgen Jost. *Partial Differential Equations.* Springer, 2 edition, 1441923802 2010.

[5] Terry Lyons, Thierry Lévy, and Michael Caruana. *Differential Equation driven by Rough Paths*. Springer, 2006.

[6] Terry Lyons and Nicolas Victoir. Cubature on wiener space. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A*, pages 169–198, 2004.

[7] Elias M.Stein and Guido Weiss. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*. Princeton University Press, May 1971.